Preserve

App. F IX-4

1928-9 (Gul)

File No. 59-28

Serial Nos. 1, 4 & 5

Previous References
me 52-27 elo 11, 17, 21, 23, 29, 32
33, 35, 46 & 51
52-27 Sb 67, 68 & 70
LB 25-26 Sb 66
me 59-28 Sb 10, 11-5

Later References
me 207-27 431-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Olias

Part B for October 1928

SUBJECT

Election of the Vice President, Sidlaghatta Mnlty

---

4 To the Compiler Kannada Gazette
L 2744/Dy 10-10-1928
Notifies the election of Mr Syed Abdulla Saheb as Vice Presdt of the Sidlaghatta Mnlty

5 To Mr H S Hampana Gul Counsellor, Sidlaghatta
L 2746/Dy 10-10-28
Rejects his petition stating that Govt see no grounds to interfere with the election

Olias 8/11/28

G R. S. III—5]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

0897	Petition Dy 17-0 28 from Mrs S-Hampton and a Sidebaughter and al protesting against the election of the said Cl, Vice President held on 8-8 28
	The protest is on the ground that the Exp officio members of the Board have also voted in the election and that their votes should be excluded. The petitioners have also objected to the OFC which has given him an endorsement to the effect that the said Dy Cl has been suspended by the Bd now
Flag O	
Flag D	Dy Cl 27 and that the Exp officio members are not entitled to vote. Action is asked

N B—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

(G. M. S. III—6)

[illegible]

[(To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.)]

[illegible]

G. R. S. III—5]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Flag D

The Dog Owners Protection Assn. on the ground that under rule 4 of the rules of Agric 1923 Ex officio members should not vote at the election under the amended rules of Agric 27 (i.e. only two non-official members that must support an application for the Show Parade take place and the Secretary was not entitled to count that Ex officio members should take a part in the election

2 The request of the Secretary said to have been based on the fact that a sum not yet been received from the Dog Owners Protection Association may be sent for a refund

N B—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be stuck, or slips pasted on this form

3. Returned with the file and 207-27-2 Secretary to note and 3055 of 26 11 27 & the date and 52 27-70 what

Flag D

Flag S

[illegible]

[This Act could only be added, [illegible], etc., and [illegible] the government account.]



(3

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Jud. File No. 37 of 1928

Serial No.

that it is not necessary to change the constitution of the Medical to provide for the appointment of Managing Gentleman & the Council

4 Under the rules of Nov.r 1927 there seems to be no provision for appealing to Govt against the orders passed by the DC under rule 8 of the rules dated and open to Govt to pass orders on the Dy Comm's report

The report has been received Please put up with the Subordinate Judge

Appl — 46
23/8/28

25/8

(D 979) Petition dt 23.8.28 from the Same present to the Secretary

6 Secy's order on the petition -

"Read in continuation the connecting Letter No A.S.C. 4 dt 29.8.28 from the DC Kolar forwarding petition No 122 dt 8-8-28 from the Panch Lingayat Archaka Mahajana, requesting the recount of the election held on 8-8-28 & requesting approval to the election of Mr. Syed Shabbir

Notes—Contin. Sheet.

WD 8874—SPB—50,000—10-4-28

1097

App F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Jud File No. 57 of 1928

Serial No 42 √P

Flag A

OFFICE OF THE HON'BLE THE RESIDENT'S COUNCIL
4 SEP 1928
No. 2512

WD 6174—SFS—50,000—28-4-28

2nd Member 4/9

Law Dept 4/9

O P I N I O N

These papers relate to the election of a Vice-President to the Siddlaghatta Municipality At the election held on 8th August 1928, Mr Syed Abdulla Saheb obtained 9 votes and the rival candidate Mr. S Hampaiya 7 votes. The latter is now contesting the election on three grounds --

(1) That the ex-officio members had no right to vote in view of G.O No.33b3-Ml 69-22-20 dated 3rd November 1922

(2) That the voting by the Amildar President was not authorised.

and (3) That the nominations made by the President were bad since they were members belonging to a community from which some members were already elected at the general elections

On a careful perusal of the record, I am of opinion that there is no force in any of the contentions of the petitioner. The Government Order of 3rd November 1922 is no longer in force since it is superseded by G O.No.L.3056-Ml 207-22-2 dated 26th November 1927 and according to the latter, neither the Amildar President nor the ex-officio members are debarred from voting This restriction on official members has been removed after full consideration of the question (See notes in L B 25 of 1926 Serial No.36 Flag H) and consequently both the first two grounds of contest are untenable

The third ground has no bearing on the question of the validity of the election now in issue and the petitioner has no locus standi to question the nomination of the members to the Municipal Council

The petition may therefore be rejected

Legal Remembrancer

1456 P 28 100

App. F III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 59 of 1928

Serial No

OFFICE OF THE Chief Minister of Council
1 OCT. 1928
No. 2738

Draft Notn. dismissing petition as time barred

5.10.28

4 Notn. and 2744 & 10.10.28
and 2745 & 10.10.28 dismissing the petitions

15
17/10

Note—Contn. Sheet.

WD 6574—6PB—50,000—28-28

In all future correspondence please quote—
No AS.wC.Elec.4/27-28
Encls. 1 file

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KOLAR DISTRICT

Dated, Kolar, the 24th AUGUST 1928

1059

From

A K.Syed Taj Peeran, Esq.,B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District.

To

R Ranga Rao, Esq ,B A ,B L ,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
General Department,
Bangalore

Mi 59-28-1.

Sir,

Vice-President, Sidlaghatta Municipality -Election.-

I have the honour to forward herewith the Sidlaghatta - Municipal President's report No M.L 122/28-29 dated 8-8-1928 with the connected enclosures containing the result of the meeting held on 8-8-1928 to elect a Vice President to the - Municipal Council It will be seen from the proceedings of the meeting that Mr.Syed Abdulla Saheb having obtained majority of votes against another candidate Mr Hampaiya, has been declared duly elected. The same may kindly be approved and caused to be notified in the Gazette.

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

A.R.
24/8/28.

2 Offs

A P F VI 61]

SUBJECT.... ..

Diary No. of letter replied to .. ..

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue.... 10-10-28

igned by Mr. H.L. Rawlinson Dyer

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Section 2nd

File No. 57-28

Serial No. 4

Dept. No. 12744

To

Mr Syed Abdullah Sahib has been duly elected as Vice President of the Tellicherry Municipal Council

By order

for Secretary

In connection with Dy No 12745 dated 10-10-28 from the Collector of Malabar forwarding a petition for improvements in the Tellicherry Municipality

By order

over

WD2855—GPB—50,000—26-5-27

M 5710

Extra copy

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

No. 2744

MCE 57-28-G

General and Revenue Secretariat,

Dated Bangalore, 10-12-1928

NOTIFICATION

Mr. Syed Abdulla Saheb has been duly elected as Vice President of the Siddaghatta Municipal Council in the Kolar District.

"By order,"

K. S. Ranga

General Secretary to Government

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication.

Mail
9/12/28.

A. F. F. VI (11)

2 Offs

SUBJECT ....

Diary No. of letter replied to..... .....

Section Genl

File No. 39-25

Serial No. 2

No. L 2746

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To Mr. S. Hampton
Kalicut Siddapatna

Date of issue.... 10-10-28

Signed by Mr K.S. Ranadye

With reference
to the petition of 17-8-28
appealing against
the order of the Dy Com
of Kolar and requesting
that the election of
the Syed Hadeel re
Sahib as Vice Pres of
Siddapatna
the Taluk Board for
reasons given he
declared invalid as
the Hampton is

List of enclosures. .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

WDSSD—G72—10,000—28-6-27

informed that ~~[illegible]~~ there are no grounds for interfering with the election & that his petition is accordingly rejected.

~~[illegible]~~ cannot be granted & the election has been made according to the Rules. ---

By order

--

Secretary

6/57